Hacienda Heights Community Plan Update Summary of Agency Comments Since August 25, 2010 Updated September 8, 2010

No	Org	Div	Туре	Received	Comments	Response / Resolution
1	Sheriff's Department	Facilities Planning Bureau	Letter	08/25/2010	 While current law enforcement needs in the community are at a sustainable level and have not increased in over 30 years, any amount of growth would have a direct impact on current response times as well as current proactive law enforcement practices. The Station recommends: 1) A timely increase in LASD-related law enforcement assets commensurate to the direct impacts of the proposed Project, which may include potential increases in population, density, traffic, calls for emergency services, and response times for such calls 2) Technological advances and the evolving nature of law enforcement practices and methodologies should be considered in long-range community policy statements 	To address these concerns, staff: 1) Added a mitigation measure under Services - Fire/Sheriff indicating that new residential or mixed-use development projects over 20 units include a study and projection of law enforcement deployment for the area that take into account the amount of growth and traffic flow through the area 2) Added a goal and policy under Public Health and Safety indicating that law enforcement assets should adjust commensurate with population changes.
2	Puente Hills Landfill Native Habitat Preservation Authority	N/A	Letter	08/26/2010	Overall, the proposed Plan is very commendable. Specific comments are regarding concerns or areas in need of clarification. The HA recommends: 1) Clarification of the discussion re: SEAs in the Land Use Section 2) Minor edits to the policy language for 6 goals and policies 3) Minor edits to 3 implementation strategies 4) Including additional implementation strategies pertaining to the protection of biological resources 5) Indicating on the Land Use Map that the open space dedication area is a result of the approved Pacific Heights Subdivision tract Map 51153 6) Using the HS-provided boundaries of the Preserve for the OS-C areas on the maps 7) Providing information re: sensitive habitats and species in the Biota section of the IS/MND and provided an additional reference	To address these concerns, staff accepted all of the recommendations. Specifically, staff: 1) Already revised the SEA discussion and boundaries on the map to reflect the adopted SEA program, policies and areas 2) Edited the goals and policies 3) Edited the implementation strategies 4) Added implementation strategies 5) Added reference to the Pacific Heights development to the Land Use Map 6) Applied the HA-provided Preserve boundaries 7) Referred to the HA's Resource Management Plan and added information to the IS discussion under Biota re: riparian, oak woodland, walnut woodland, coastal sage scrub, coastal CA gnatcatchers and Plummer's Mariposa lily

Hacienda Heights Community Plan Update Summary of Agency Comments Since August 25, 2010 Updated September 8, 2010

No	Org	Div	Туре	Received	Comments	Response / Resolution
3	Fire Department	Planning, Land Develop, Forestry, Health Hazardous Materials	Letter	08/31/2010	 Re: environmental document only: Information for the name and location of the Fire Station needs to be corrected in the IS Project must comply with all applicable code and ordinance requirements for construction, access, water mains, fire flows and fire hydrants Some properties are located within the Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone; all applicable fire code and ordinance requirements for construction, access, water mains, fire hydrants, fire flows, brush clearance and fuel modification plans must be met Potential impacts in the areas of erosion control, watershed managements, rare and endangered species, vegetation, fuel modification for VHFHSZ or Fire Zone 4, archeological and cultural resources, and the County Oak Tree Ordinance should be addressed 	 Corrected the name and location Specific requirements for access, fire flows, hydrants, etc. will be addressed when a land use permit (Tentative Map, Conditional Use Permit, etc.) or architectural plans are submitted to the Fire Department for review and approval Specific requirements for access, fire flows, hydrants, etc. within the VHFHSZ will be addressed when a land use permit or plans are submitted to the Fire Department for review and approval Added a mitigation measure under Services - Fire/Sheriff indicating that potential impacts in these areas shall be addressed when a land use permit or plans are submitted to the Fire Department for review and approval
4	Department of Public Works	Land Develop- ment	Email Letter	09/01/2010	Re: environmental document only: 1) If built out to its maximum allowable densities, areas in the center and north portions of the community where the total allowable number of residential units may increase may experience sewer capacity problems in the local sewer lines that serve them, which may limit development potential of some properties unless mitigation measures are adopted by individual developers 2) Re: underground storage tanks / industrial waste, indicated that should any operation within the proposed project include the construction, installation, modification, or removal of underground storage tanks, industrial waste treatment or disposal facilities, Public Works' Environmental Programs Division must be contacted for required approvals and operating permits.	DIS/MND already contains a mitigation measure regarding sewer capacity under Services – Sewage Disposal Added information to the discussion to the Initial Study under Other Factors – Environmental Safety.

Hacienda Heights Community Plan Update Summary of Agency Comments Since August 25, 2010 Updated September 8, 2010

No	Org	Div	Type	Received	Comments	Response / Resolution
5	Governor's Office of Planning and Research	State Clearing- house	Letter	09/01/2010	No state agencies submitted comments and CEQA review requirements have been met	N/A
6	Community Development Commission	Construction Mgmt.	Email	09/02/2010	Re: Plan only: 1) Planning to develop a passive park next to Orange Grove Middle School (provided project description), which has a proposed designation of P-CS not OS-PR	2) P-CS is proposed for all publicly-owned lands and allows for a range of publicserving community uses that can include a local passive park, which will be community-serving, publicly-funded and located on publicly-owned land. Staff was already of aware of the plans.